

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/719,639	09/25/1996	SHANE D. MATTAWAY	N0003/7013	9685
23838 . 7	590 01/08/2003			
KENYON &		EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE WASHINGTO	ET, N.W., SUITE 700 N, DC 20005		HSU, A	LPUS
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S.					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		08/719,639	MATTAWAY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
•		Alpus H. Hsu	2665		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of too will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed on 1	1/6/02			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1				
	•	This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und				
	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applicat				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	a/or election requirement.			
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		the Examiner		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		.,		
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\mathfrak p$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 08/719,639

Art Unit: 2665

1. In the entire specification, the applicant is requested to **update** the status from time to time for all of the listed related co-pending applications.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103® and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 12, 23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oberlander et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,825,865 in view of Gordon in U.S. Patent No. 5,608,786 (both of records).

By broadly interpreting the message including message descriptor transmitted as the claimed call packet, and the network (101) processing the message utilizing controller (or computer) and databases as the claimed packet-switched computer network, Oberlander et al. discloses a method, apparatus and computer program product for selectively alerting user of an

Art Unit: 2665

incoming communication over a packet-switched computer network (101, 104 and 106) by receiving an incoming communication containing an information profile (Fig. 3) identifying the source of the incoming communication, and responding to the incoming communication in accordance with the identity of the source, providing source physical address or telephone number in the information profile (see Figs. 1-5, col. 3, line 33 to col. 8, line 50) as in claims 1, 12, 23 and 31.

Oberlander et al. fails to disclose the features of having call packets generated from telephony processes, which have dynamically assigned protocol addresses, and having a central server for storing the dynamically assigned protocol addresses to establish an Internet telephony communication between the telephony processes as claimed. But Oberlander et al. does disclose the call packets can be of the types of paging message, FAX message, ISDN message and/or E-Mail. It is also well known in the art for routing these messages via Internet, providing these messages to include IP addresses in the header. It is also well known in the art to include a connection server for storing IP addresses for Internet telephony communication.

Gordon, from the similar field of endeavor, provides the teaching of routing paging message, FAX message, ISDN message and/or E-Mail via Internet with each of these messages including IP addresses in the header, and providing an Internet access provider (8), which inherently includes a connection server for storing IP addresses for Internet telephony communication (see col. 1, line 66 to col. 3, line 63, col. 5, line 12 to col. 6, line 33, and claims 1, 9 & 11), which can be easily adopted by one of ordinary skill in the art to implement in the system of Oberlander et al. to increase the system flexibility and performance.

Application/Control Number: 08/719,639

Art Unit: 2665

4. Claims 2-11, 13-22, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oberlander et al. in view of Gordon, as applied to claims 1, 12, 23 and 31, and further in view of Blonder et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,708,422 (all of records).

Considering claims 2-8, 13-19, 24-30, the system provided from the teaching of

Oberlander et al. in view of Gordon does not teach the generation of a notification signal, nor its
association with the information profile. Blonder et al. teaches a method and apparatus for using
a communication system to alert a transaction user by including a database for receiving
information and storing a profile, including a processor for retrieving the profile from the
database and comparing information associated with the profile, and a network, over which a
notification signal is transmitted (see Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 33-47, col. 7, lines 21-39). It would
have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify
the invention of Oberlander et al. to include the notification signal found in the teaching of
Blonder et al. because of the advantage that it allows the system to be equipped with device for
notifying the user and accommodates a wide variety of communication platforms, and allows the
user to better control reception of incoming messages to best suit their own particular needs (see
Oberlander et al., col. 2, lines 11-16).

Considering claims 9-11, 20-22, the combination of system and method provided from the teaching of Oberlander et al. in view of Blonder et al. fails to teach a notification signal as being an audio signal, a graphic image signal or a haptic sensor signal. The examiner takes Official Notice that the concept and the advantage of providing a notification signal which includes an audio signal, a graphic image signal or a haptic sensor signal are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to include audio signal, graphic image signal or

Application/Control Number: 08/719,639

Art Unit: 2665

haptic sensor signal to the notification signal provided from the teaching of Oberlander et al. in view of Blonder et al. since the audio, graphic image signal and haptic sensor signal are known to provide the user with auditory, visual and sensible feedback to the communication system for user alerting purpose.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

AHH January 6, 2003 Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665